## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

ANTHONY JACKSON,

CV 06-1477-HU

Petitioner

ORDER

v.

CHARLES A DANIELS, Warden

Defendants.

## MARSH, Judge:

On May 22, 2007, Magistrate Judge Hubel issued a Findings and Recommendation (doc. 16) that petitioner's amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (#15) should be denied and that this proceeding should be dismissed. Petitioner has filed timely objections and the matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) on de novo review.

See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); Bhan v. NME Hospitals, Inc.,
929 F.2d 1404, 1415 (9th Cir. 1991).

I find no error in the Findings and Recommendation and I adopt them as my own. Petitioner's amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (doc. 15) is **DENIED.** 

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this <u>5</u> day of July, 2007.

/s/ Malcolm F. Marsh
Malcolm F. Marsh
United States District Judge